Arts, Health and Well-Being

This important book develops a critical understanding of the bridging of arts and health domains, drawing on models and perspectives from social sciences to develop the case for arts and health as a social movement. This interdisciplinary perspective offers a new research agenda that can help to inform future developments and sustainability in arts, health and well-being.

Daykin begins with an overview of the current evidence base and a review of current challenges for research, policy and practice. Later chapters explore the international field of health and the arts; arts, with well-being as a social movement; and boundary work and the role of boundary objects in the field. This book also includes sections summarising research findings and evidence in arts and health research and examples from specific research projects conducted by the author, chosen to highlight particularly widespread challenges across many arts, health and well-being contexts.

*Arts, Health and Well-Being: A Critical Perspective on Research, Policy and Practice* is valuable reading for students in sociology, psychology, social work, nursing, psychiatry, creative and performing arts, public health and policymakers and practitioners in these fields.

**Norma Daykin** is Professor in New Social Research at Tampere University, Finland, Professor Emerita in Arts in Health at the University of the West of England, UK, and a visiting Professor in Arts as Wellbeing at the University of Winchester, UK. She is a social scientist and musician known for her award-winning research on arts, health and well-being. Her research has spanned a wide range of methodologies and topics, from the impact of hospital design on patient well-being through to the impact of participatory arts in mental health, primary care, community and justice settings.
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The last 20 years have seen a growing interest and burgeoning evidence of the health and well-being impacts of arts such as music, visual arts, drama, creative writing and other activities. During this period, artists have joined together with researchers, health professionals and policy makers to explore the connections between arts, health and well-being. An international evidence base is expanding in volume and growing in quality, while regional, national and international networks have been established to share knowledge and support the development of policy and practice. Senior policy makers have spoken in support of integrating arts and creativity at every stage of healthcare. However, significant challenges need to be addressed before such a goal can become a reality.

Combining arts and health is seen as a potential solution to demographic and social challenges that have transformed experiences of health and disease, often revealing the limitations of technological medicine. The power of arts and creativity have been invoked to address a range of policy agendas, including supporting marginalised communities and addressing well-being inequalities. However, ‘arts’ and ‘health’ are characterised by separate histories, organisational practices, cultural traditions, professional roles and identities, and each domain faces particular challenges in contemporary society. The task of overcoming these divisions in order to develop a common vision and purpose is not straightforward.

Two related premises underline this book. First, the development of healthcare knowledge is not a neutral scientific process but is shaped by political governance, regulation and social action [1]. This perspective draws on writings on the sociology of professions by researchers such as Margaret Stacey, who discusses the changes in society, including population growth, increased wealth, advances in technology, changing attitudes and a growth in the regulatory functions of the state, which

1 Introduction
allowed the consolidation of professional power and the establishment of Western Scientific Medicine (WSM) as the dominant form of health-care provision in Europe [2]. The second premise of this book is that the development of artistic knowledge and practice is similarly embedded in social and political relations. Hence, artistic quality cannot ‘speak for itself’; rather, the production and reception of artwork is a social process embedded in interaction and influenced by hierarchies such as class, gender, ethnicity, caste and sexual identity [3–5].

This book develops a critical understanding of the bridging of arts and health domains, beginning with an overview of the current evidence base and a review of current challenges for research, policy and practice. I draw on models and perspectives from social sciences to develop the case for arts and health as a social movement, exploring boundary work and the role of boundary objects in arts, health and well-being. These theories offer a new research agenda that can help to inform future developments and sustainability in arts, health and well-being.

**Limits to medicine**

Since the 1960s, scientific medicine, which had dominated understanding of health and disease from after the European Enlightenment until the middle of the 20th century, has been subjected to sustained critique.

The basis of scientific medicine in ‘Cartesian dualism’, the belief that mind and body are separate entities, has led to mechanistic and reductionist approaches to health problems [4]. Healthcare systems organised around the principles of scientific medicine were increasingly questioned by economists, sociologists, feminists, professional groups and complementary therapists [5,6]. Radical doctors such as Ivan Illich pointed to modern medicine’s iatrogenic effects, which include not just the damage done by ineffective or unsafe treatments but also broader harms inflicted by consumerism and attempts to control and deny essential human experiences of dealing with death, pain and sickness [7]. Public health researchers demonstrated the contribution that social and environmental factors, such as improvements in hygiene and income distribution, have made to population health improvements [8].

More recently, it has been estimated that less than 10% of what affects our health and well-being comes from access to health-care [9]. Current health and care challenges stem from demographic and social trends, with increases in life expectancy not necessarily translating into healthy lives in later years. Throughout the life
course, the prevalence of chronic physical and mental ill health is at unprecedented levels, compounded by widening health inequalities causing a disproportionate burden of ill health to be borne by people on low incomes [10]. These conditions create mounting pressure on health services, combined with rising care costs and difficulties in recruiting and retaining staff. There is a growing consensus that health services cannot be held solely accountable for the nation's health and that a shift in emphasis is needed towards prevention. Many arts and health projects have developed from a recognition of the limits of medical models of health and care, particularly in areas such as chronic illness and dementia, where medical solutions are unlikely to address needs. Nevertheless, biomedical research still maintains a dominant position in many areas of research, regulation and healthcare practice.

**Arts and cultural challenges**

A shift in thinking within the arts coincided with these changing perspectives on health and disease away from scientific medicine towards more holistic models of health and care. The impetus for what has been described as a social movement of arts, health and well-being has been traced to the emergence of community arts in the 1960s, which challenged the role of art in society, particularly the perception of ‘high art’ as aloof and disconnected from the problems of ordinary people [11]. The arts and health movement challenges fundamental ideas about the nature of creativity that have shaped the development of arts in modern European societies. For example, during the Romantic period, the artist was held separate from society, visionary but marginalised, heroic but tragically unrecognised [12,13]. The influence of these ideas, together with more recent trends towards commercialism and commodification, has perpetuated an elitist and de-contextualised view of the arts and fostered unhelpful stereotypes that isolate artists, making it difficult for them to organise, find support for their own well-being and command appropriate financial rewards for their work [14].

While these ideas have been challenged by those favouring socially engaged models of arts practice, their influence can still be seen, for example, in debates about artistic quality. There is sometimes a presumption that community arts and arts for health and well-being are ‘instrumental’ activities lacking in quality. Yet artistic quality is a complex and subjective phenomenon that is only just beginning to be critically discussed and mapped within the arts sector. The role of artistic quality in arts and health practice has not been well understood,
and this area has sometimes been overlooked by practitioners and service delivery organisations as well as researchers, whose attention and efforts have been consumed by seeking to find ways to demonstrate health and well-being outcomes and address medically based hierarchies of evidence.

**Outline of this book**

This book is in two parts. Part 1 begins with a discussion of the development of the field of arts, health and well-being in Chapter 2 and an overview of research and evidence in Chapter 3. Research challenges are discussed, drawing on case studies of visual arts and music in health and community contexts (Chapter 4). While the quality of research in the field is continually improving, these chapters reveal underlying problems and questions that cannot be addressed through methodologies alone. Part 2 explores theories from social science and organisational studies that might help to address these questions. Chapter 5 discusses arts, health and well-being in relation to recent developments in social movement theory, suggesting that this kind of thinking can offer new insights into questions about sustainability and the future development of the field. Chapter 6 explores the related area of boundary work, examining the role of artists in health and care contexts as boundary spanners. This chapter discusses artistic objects as boundary objects and suggests that effective boundary work in arts, health and well-being has the potential to transform and improve many health and care contexts.
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Notes

1 https://www.baat.org/About-Art-Therapy
2 https://arttherapy.org/about-art-therapy/
3 https://taikusydan.turkuamk.fi/
4 http://www.artsequal.fi/
5 The Creative and Credible project is a partnership among the University of the West of England, Bristol, Willis Newson arts consultants, the University of Winchester and the Royal Society of Public Health. The initial research was funded by the Economic and Social Research Council.
6 The Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine produces information and guidance on many aspects of evidence-based medicine, including levels of evidence (https://www.cebm.net/).
1 https://www.cochranelibrary.com/
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