

0080924

ADMIT ON

Aero Thea
SANTA MONICA

FILM AS SOCIAL PRACTICE GRAEME TURNER THIRD EDITION

**ALL NEW!
IN TERRIFYING
TECHNICOLOR!**



Alexandria 1
Presents
AUSTIN
Rating: PG-13
Showing at: 04:00P
THE MATS
Adult: 1 BAR MAT \$5.00
General: 0.75 Student: 0.50/1.00 0.15/0.20

0080925

ROUTLEDGE
R

04315

Film as social practice

This new edition of a classic student textbook explores the feature film as entertainment, as narrative, and as cultural event. Graeme Turner discusses the major theoretical issues surrounding the history of film production and film studies, using them to examine the cultural function of film and its place in our popular culture.

Turner considers the place of film institutions in national political culture and the relevance of cultural theory while explaining the social practice of making, watching, and talking about feature films.

The third edition includes:

- discussion of classic and popular contemporary films, now including *Scream 2*, *The Wedding Singer*, and *Lethal Weapon 4*, with a selection of brand new film stills
- updated and expanded discussion of debates surrounding film narrative, feminist film theory, the film industry, including the New Hollywood and the rise of the multiplex, audiences and spectatorship
- arguments revised throughout to take into account recent developments in film and cultural theory and changing cinematic trends

Graeme Turner is Professor of Cultural Studies in the Department of English at the University of Queensland. He is the author of *British Cultural Studies* (second edition, Routledge 1996).

Studies in Culture and Communication

General editor: John Fiske

Introduction to Communication Studies

John Fiske

Understanding News

John Hartley

Case Studies and Projects in Communication

Neil McKeown

An Introduction to Language and Society

Martin Montgomery

Understanding Radio

Andrew Crisell

Popular Culture: The Metropolitan Experience

Iain Chambers

On Video

Roy Armes

Film as Social Practice

Graeme Turner

Television Drama: Agency, Audience and Myth

John Tulloch

Understanding Television

Edited by Andrew Goodwin and Garry Whannel

A Primer for Daily Life

Susan Willis

Communications and the 'Third World'

Geoffrey Reeves

Advertising as Communication

Gillian Dyer

The Ideological Octopus: An Exploration of Television and its Audience

Justin Lewis

Textual Poachers: Television Fans and Participatory Culture

Henry Jenkins

Film as social practice

THIRD EDITION

Graeme Turner



London and New York

First published 1988
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park,
Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA
and Canada
by Routledge
270 Madison Ave,
New York NY 10016

Reprinted 1990, 1991, 1992
Second edition 1993
Reprinted 1994, 1995
Third edition 1999

Transferred to Digital Printing 2005

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor
& Francis Group*

© 1988, 1993, 1999 Graeme Turner

Typeset in Sabon by RefineCatch Ltd,
Bungay, Suffolk

All rights reserved. No part of this
book may be reprinted or reproduced
or utilized in any form or by any
electronic, mechanical, or other

means, now known or hereafter
invented, including photocopying
and recording, or in any information
storage or retrieval system, without
permission in writing from the
publishers.

*British Library Cataloguing in
Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is
available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in
Publication Data*

Turner, Graeme.

Film as social practice / Graeme Turner. –
3rd ed.

p. cm. – (Studies in culture and
communication)

Includes bibliographical references
and index.

1. Motion pictures – Social aspects. 2.

Communications. I. Series

PN1995.9.S6T87 1999

302.23'43–dc21

99–19988

ISBN 0–415–21594–3 (hbk)

ISBN 0–415–21595–1 (pbk)

This is for Jessie
who missed out last time

Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<i>General editor's preface</i>	xv
<i>Preface</i>	xvii
Introduction	1
1 The feature film industry	5
The feature film today	6
The establishment of the feature film	13
American domination of the feature film industry	16
New competitors, new strategies, new Hollywood	20
Suggestions for further work	31

CONTENTS

2 From seventh art to social practice - a history of film studies	33
Early aesthetic approaches	34
Realist approaches	39
Bazin	41
<i>Auteurs</i> and genres	43
The institutionalization of film studies	46
Film as social practice	47
Suggestions for further work	49
3 Film languages	51
Culture and language	52
Film as a signifying practice	57
The signifying systems	59
Reading the film	73
Suggestions for further work	74
4 Film narrative	77
The universality of story	78
The function of narrative	82
Structuralism and narrative	85
Codes and conventions	89
Genre	97
Structuralism, genre, and the western	101
Narrative and narration	106
Suggestions for further work	108
5 Film audiences	111
Specifying the audience	116
Stars	120

CONTENTS

The film experience	127
The film spectator and psychoanalysis	131
Audience identification	132
Desire and the image	140
Audiences, texts, and meanings	144
Suggestions for further work	149
6 Film, culture, and ideology	151
Film and national culture	156
A national cinema: the Australian revival	161
Ideology in the text	171
Issues in ideological analysis	177
Suggestions for further work	185
7 Applications	187
<i>Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid</i>	188
<i>Desperately Seeking Susan</i>	195
Conclusion	205
<i>References</i>	207
<i>Index</i>	215

List of illustrations

1	The sequel – a sign of a cautious industry: <i>Scream 2</i>	9
2	Cinemas in the nineties: the multiplex, offering a menu of movies	12
3	3-D movie viewers, 1952 (photo by J. R. Eyerman, <i>Life Magazine</i> , © 1970, Time Inc., used with permission)	23
4	Drew Barrymore and Adam Sandler, <i>The Wedding Singer</i> (courtesy of the Kobal Collection)	27
5	Eisenstein's <i>Battleship Potemkin</i> (courtesy of the National Film and Sound Archive of Australia)	38
6	The shot-reverse shot system for representing conversation	57
7	The glamour shot: Cher, from <i>The Witches of Eastwick</i> (used with permission, still courtesy of Village Roadshow)	63
8	A conventional three point set-up for high-key lighting	65
9	Linda Hamilton in <i>Terminator 2</i> : a sign of new constructions of female power? (courtesy Carolco)	96
10	Genres and stars: the key elements in movie posters	99
11	<i>The Rocky Horror Picture Show</i> (courtesy of the <i>Border Morning Mail</i> (Albury, NSW))	115

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

12	Publicity still from <i>Platoon</i> showing Willem Dafoe, Charlie Sheen, and Tom Berenger	118
13	In magazines stars' images circulate even more vigorously outside the cinemas	122
14	The ultimate star image: Marilyn Monroe (courtesy of the National Film and Sound Archive of Australia)	126
15	Sigourney Weaver as Ripley in <i>Aliens</i> (© 1986 Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation. All rights reserved)	138
16	Poster for Peter Weir's <i>Gallipoli</i> (courtesy Associated R&R Films)	163
17	Linda Kozlowski (Sue Charlton) and John Meillon (Wally Reilly) in a scene from <i>Crocodile Dundee</i> (used with permission, courtesy Kakanda Pty Ltd)	169
18	Fritz Lang's <i>Metropolis</i> (courtesy of the National Film and Sound Archive of Australia)	175
19	The action film – Mel Gibson in <i>Lethal Weapon 4</i> (courtesy of the Kobal Collection)	184
20	Dez (Aidan Quinn) separates from his 'ex' (Anna Carlisle) in <i>Desperately Seeking Susan</i> (used with permission, courtesy of Village Roadshow)	204

Acknowledgements

For assistance with, and permission to reproduce, the illustrations in this book I would like to thank: John Fairfax and Sons; A.A.P.; Time-Life; Fox/Columbia (Australia); Kobal Collection; Village Roadshow; Philip Neilsen; Meg Labrum; the National Film and Sound Archive of Australia; Kakanda Pty Ltd; the *Border Morning Mail* (Albury); Twentieth Century Fox; and Associated R&R Films.

General editor's preface

This series of books on different aspects of communication is designed to meet the needs of the growing number of students coming to study this subject for the first time. The authors are experienced teachers or lecturers who are committed to bridging the gap between the huge body of research available to the more advanced student and what new students actually need to get them started on their studies.

Probably the most characteristic feature of communication is its diversity: it ranges from the mass media and popular culture through language to individual and social behaviour. But it identifies links and a coherence within this diversity. The series will reflect the structure of its subject. Some books will be general, basic works that seek to establish theories and methods of study applicable to a wide range of material; others will apply these theories and methods to the study of one particular topic. But even these topic-centred books will relate to each other, as well as to the more general ones. One particular topic, such as advertising or news or language, can only be understood as an example of communication when it is related to, and differentiated from, all the other topics that go to make up this diverse subject.

The series, then, has two main aims, both closely connected. The first is to introduce readers to the most important results of contemporary research into communication together with the theories

GENERAL EDITOR'S PREFACE

that seek to explain it. The second is to equip them with appropriate methods of study and investigation which they will be able to apply directly to their everyday experience of communication.

If readers can write better essays, produce better projects and pass more exams as a result of reading these books I shall be very satisfied; but if they gain a new insight into how communication shapes and informs our social life, how it articulates and creates our experience of industrial society, then I shall be delighted. Communication is too often taken for granted when it should be taken to pieces.

John Fiske

Preface

This third edition of *Film as Social Practice* responds to the fact that there have been significant shifts in the film industry's role within a multimedia marketplace, and that film and cultural theory has moved on appreciably since the second edition. Consequently, the account provided of the current state of the popular feature film industry has been substantially revised. While this book looks at film theory from a cultural studies perspective, thus dealing with it in slightly different ways to most film textbooks, there were certain theoretical developments which it also seemed necessary to deal with at greater length in this edition. Debates around audiences and spectatorship for instance, particularly work within feminist and psychoanalytic theory about the nature of the narrative and visual pleasures offered by popular cinema, demanded to be updated. There are other debates, about the importance of psychoanalysis within film theory and about the usefulness of ethnographic and empirical methods of audience research, which have required acknowledgement as well. It has not been possible to greatly expand the length of the volume, so the insertion of new material has had to be limited. However, I am confident that this second revision has again widened the range of ideas covered, and brought the ideas already dealt with up to date.

Among the aims of the first edition of *Film as Social Practice* was

PREFACE

the provision of an introduction to the study of film which placed it among the representational forms and social practices of popular culture. One of the ways in which this was done was to make substantial use of contemporary examples, drawing upon recent popular cinema as well as upon the 'classics' continually revisited in film courses. The second edition was completed in 1992, so its examples are much less contemporary now than when first used. In this revision, I have updated many of these examples, and also modified the arguments in places to account for the changing trends in contemporary cinema. My hope is that it is a more accessible, fresh and useful book as a consequence.

Graeme Turner, Brisbane, 1999

Introduction

In 1896 the French brothers Auguste and Louis Lumière became the first to project moving film to an audience. Like other pioneers in film, such as Thomas Edison in the USA, the Lumières imagined that their work with moving pictures would be directed towards scientific research rather than the establishment of an entertainment industry. Edison claimed that he decided to leave the movie industry as soon as its potential as a ‘big amusement proposition’ became clear, although his career makes this difficult to accept since he employed some cut-throat business practices. It is certainly true that when Edison ran his first 50 feet of film in 1888, the future he envisaged for moving pictures was more akin to what we now know as television; the emphasis was to be on domestic, information-based usage. However, despite the inappropriateness of the pioneers’ initial objectives, it took barely fifteen years into the twentieth century for the narrative feature to establish itself – both as a viable commercial product and as a contender for the status of the ‘seventh art’, the new century’s first original art form.

The history of film and of the ways in which it has been studied has already been written from a multitude of perspectives: as a narrative of key films, stars, and directors; as a story of ever-improving technology and more realistic illusions; as an industrial history of

INTRODUCTION

Hollywood and the multinational corporations which have succeeded it; as a cultural history, in which film is used as a reflector or index of movements within twentieth-century popular culture. And yet film studies have largely been dominated by one perspective – aesthetic analysis in which film's ability to become art through its reproduction and arrangement of sound and images is the subject of attention. This book breaks with this tradition in order to study film as entertainment, as narrative, as cultural event. The book is intended to introduce students to film as a social practice, and the understanding of its production and consumption, its pleasures and its meanings, is enclosed within the study of the workings of culture itself.

The academic area of film studies is now institutionalized in colleges, schools, and universities around the world. While there has always been a theoretical and academic interest in film, this interest expanded dramatically in the 1960s and 1970s – particularly in the USA, where film departments proliferated. The success of these departments can be deduced from the fact that the place once occupied by literature in humanities or arts courses is now challenged by film – just as the arts course is itself under attack from communications, media studies, or cultural studies courses of one kind or another.

Such challenges to the traditional literature or arts programme are, ironically, partly due to the defection of literature scholars who moved into the area of film studies during this period. While this has resulted in the increased sophistication of the understanding of film as a medium, the influence of attitudes developed in literary studies but applied to a popular and less verbal medium has not always been positive. Many literary scholars brought with them assumptions which later film theory was to challenge: for instance, a high-culture suspicion of such popular cultural forms as mainstream movies, television, or popular fiction; an exaggerated respect for the single unique text (book or film) coexisting with a patronizing attitude towards 'commercial', genre-styled films (westerns, thrillers, musicals, etc.); and a preference for films made from literary works. Interest in film in the 1960s and 1970s was rather narrowly circumscribed by the preference for modernistic, abstract films which bore greater similarities to literary works than to the mainstream of commercial feature film entertainment. Because film was seen to be analogous to literature,

many of the things it did which literature did not were ignored. In particular, film's ability to attract millions of paying customers was disregarded because of aesthetic criticism of the most successful films. As a result it has taken some time to recover the need to understand the attraction of film-going itself: to understand the dreamlike separation from the everyday world that lies behind the shock we experience as we leave the cinema, or the lure of the luminous images on the screen.

The arguments which dominate most traditional texts on film theory revolve around the formalism/realism debate (that is, whether or not to talk about film by way of its artistic – 'formal' – unity or by way of its specific relation to the particular world it is attempting to capture within its frames – its 'realism'). It is a debate which has a history as long as that of the medium itself, although its terms do keep changing. In their traditional form, rooted in arguments from the 1940s and 1950s, both the realist and formalist positions are aesthetic in that they are finally interested in evaluating how successful a film is as *art*, rather than as a social activity for its audience. There has, however, been a change in the kind of approach taken in film studies in the last couple of decades, and the movement away from a predominantly aesthetic approach is one that informs this book.

It is now more or less accepted that film's function in our culture goes beyond that of being, simply, an exhibited aesthetic object. Popular film takes place in an arena where the audience's pleasure is a dominant consideration – both for the audience and for the film's producers. This does not necessarily mean that the audience is drugged or fed 'junk food for the mind'. The pleasure that popular film provides may indeed be quite different from that offered by literature or fine art; it is, however, equally deserving of our understanding. Film provides us with pleasure in the spectacle of its representations on the screen, in our recognition of stars, styles, and genres, and in our enjoyment of the event itself. Popular films have a life beyond their theatre runs or their reruns on television; stars, genres, key movies become part of our personal culture, our identity. Film is a social practice for its makers and its audience; in its narratives and meanings we can locate evidence of the ways in which our culture makes sense of itself. Such is the view of film explored through these pages.

The following chapters are not comprehensive guides to the full

INTRODUCTION

body of film theory, but a map pointing out those areas of film and cultural theory that will be of most use to students first encountering the study of film – as a set of texts and as a social practice. Suggestions for further reading and consideration will follow each chapter, and point towards issues or applications I have been unable to include within the body of the text. Chapter 7 presents a set of sample analyses or ‘readings’ of films in order to demonstrate the kinds of approaches outlined earlier in the book. The aim is not to define what each film is ‘about’, or what it means, but to show what kind of information is produced by each analytical method. Throughout the book, but especially here, I am concerned with the *readings* any film may invite, rather than *the reading* we might want to impose.

In choosing examples with which to illustrate the points made in this book, I have attempted to deal primarily with mainstream, popular films which students may well have seen. Although there are references to some of the ‘classic’ films – *Metropolis* and *Citizen Kane*, for instance – the focus of this book is on film’s function within popular culture, rather than its more rarefied role as high art. This is a film text about ‘movies’ *and* ‘cinema’, not just cinema alone. (Readers will note that such terms as film, movie, and cinema are used interchangeably throughout.)

In writing this book, I have received help and encouragement from a number of colleagues who deserve thanks. Most importantly, Bruce Molloy from the Queensland University of Technology, who was originally to be part of this project and had to withdraw, is owed particular thanks for his contribution to the early planning and design of the book. Other colleagues who read sections – Dugald Williamson from Griffith University and Stuart Cunningham from Queensland University of Technology – and my ever encouraging friend and editor John Fiske from the University of Wisconsin, Madison also deserve gratitude for their patience and interest. My research assistant, Shari Armistead, who searched out most of the illustrations with charm and determination, relieved me of an unpleasant task and has made a significant contribution to the book. None of the above are, of course, responsible for any flaws the reader may detect in this book.

References

- Allen, R. C. and Gomery, D. (1985) *Film History: Theory and Practice*, New York: Random House.
- Altman, C. F. (1977) 'Psychoanalysis and the cinema: the imaginary discourse', *Quarterly Review of Film Studies* 2 (3), reprinted in B. Nichols (ed.) (1985) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 2, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Andrew, D. (1984) *Concepts in Film Theory*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Arnheim, R. (1958) *Film as Art*, London: Faber.
- Balio, T. (1985) *The American Film Industry*, Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- (1990) *Hollywood in the Age of Television*, Boston: Unwin Hyman.
- (1996) 'Adjusting to the global economy: Hollywood in the 1990s', in A. Moran (ed.) *Film Policy: International, National and Regional Perspectives*, London: Routledge.
- Barr, C. (1977) *Ealing Studios*, London: Cameron & Tayleur.
- Barthes, R. (1973) *Mythologies*, London: Paladin.
- (1975) *The Pleasure of the Text*, New York: Hill & Wang.
- (1977) *Image-Music-Text*, London: Fontana.
- Baudry, J.-L. (1974) 'Ideological effects of the basic cinematographic apparatus', *Film Quarterly* 28 (2).

REFERENCES

- Bazin, A. (1967) *What is Cinema?*, vol. 1, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Bennett, T. and Woollacott, J. (1987) *Bond and Beyond: the Political Career of a Popular Hero*, London: Macmillan.
- Bennett, T., Boyd-Bowman, S., Mercer, C., and Woollacott, J. (eds) (1981) *Popular Television and Film*, London: British Film Institute.
- Berger, J. (1972) *Ways of Seeing*, London: British Broadcasting Corporation.
- Bobo, J. (1995) *Black Women as Cultural Readers*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Bordwell, D. (1985) *Narration in the Fiction Film*, London: Routledge.
- Bordwell, D. and Carroll, N. (eds) (1996) *Post-Theory: Reconstructing Film Studies*, Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bordwell, D. and Thompson, K. (1986) *Film Art: an Introduction*, 2nd edn, New York: Knopf.
- Bordwell, D., Steiger, J., and Thompson, K. (1985) *The Classical Hollywood Cinema: Film Style and Mode of Production to 1960*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Brunsdon, C. (1997) *Screen Tastes: Soap Opera to Satellite Dishes*, London: Routledge.
- Brunsdon, C. and Morley, D. (1978) *Everyday Television: Nationwide*, London: British Film Institute.
- Bruzzi, S. (1997) *Undressing Cinema: Clothing and Identity in the Movies*, London: Routledge.
- Buscombe, E. (1977) 'Sound and colour', *Jump Cut* 17, reprinted in B. Nichols (ed.) (1985) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 2, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Byron, S. (1979) 'The Searchers: cult movie of the new Hollywood', *New York Magazine*, 5 March.
- Carroll, N. (1996) 'Prospects for film theory', in D. Bordwell and N. Carroll (eds) *Post-Theory: Reconstructing Film Studies*, Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Caughie, J. (ed.) (1981) *Theories of Authorship: a Reader*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Chambers, I. (1986) *Popular Culture: the Metropolitan Experience*, London: Methuen.
- Chatman, S. (1978) *Story and Discourse: Narrative Structure in Fiction and Film*, London: Cornell University Press.
- Clover, C. J. (1992) *Men, Women and Chainsaws: Gender in the Modern Horror Film*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

REFERENCES

- Collins, J., Radner, H., and Preacher Collins, A. (eds) (1993) *Film Theory Goes to the Movies*, London and New York: Routledge.
- Comolli, J. (1977) 'Technique and ideology: camera, perspective, depth of field', *Film Reader* 2.
- Cook, D. (1981) *A History of Narrative Film*, New York: Norton.
- Cook, P. (ed.) (1987) *The Cinema Book*, London: British Film Institute.
- Coward, R. (1984) *Female Desire: Women's Sexuality Today*, London: Paladin.
- Creed, B. (1993) *The Monstrous-Feminine: Film, Feminism and Psychoanalysis*, London: Routledge.
- Dayan, D. (1974) 'The tutor code of classical cinema', *Film Quarterly* 28 (1).
- de Lauretis, T. and Heath, S. (eds) (1980) *The Cinematic Apparatus*, London: Macmillan.
- Dermody, S. and Jacka, E. (1987) *The Screening of Australia: Anatomy of an Industry*, Sydney: Currency.
- Dyer, R. (1977) 'Entertainment and Utopia', *Movie* 24.
- (1982) *Stars*, London: British Film Institute.
- (1986) *Heavenly Bodies: Film Stars and Society*, London: British Film Institute.
- (1997) *White*, London: Routledge.
- Ellis, J. (1975) 'Made in Ealing', *Screen* 16 (1).
- (1982) *Visible Fictions: Cinema, Television, Video*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Erens, P. (1977) 'Sunset Boulevard: a morphological analysis', *Film Reader* 2.
- Fell, J. (1977) 'Vladimir Propp in Hollywood', *Film Quarterly* 30 (3).
- Fiske, J. (1982) *Introduction to Communication Studies*, London: Methuen.
- (1987a) 'British cultural studies and television', in R. Allen (ed.) *Channels of Discourse*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- (1987b) *Television Culture*, London: Methuen.
- Fiske, J., Hodge, B., and Turner, G. (1987) *Myths of Oz: Reading Australian Popular Culture*, Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Frith, S. (1986) 'Hearing secret harmonies', in C. MacCabe (ed.) *High Theory/Low Culture: Analysing Popular Television and Film*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Gamman, L. and Marshment, M. (eds) (1988) *The Female Gaze*, London: Women's Press.

REFERENCES

- Gillespie, M. (1995) *Television, Ethnicity and Cultural Change*, London: Routledge.
- Gomery, D. (1976) 'Writing the history of the American film industry: Warner Bros and sound', *Screen* 1.
- (1980) 'Towards an economic history of the cinema: the coming of sound to Hollywood', in T. de Lauretis and S. Heath (eds) *The Cinematic Apparatus*, London: Macmillan.
- (1986) 'The popularity of film going in the U.S., 1930–1950', in C. MacCabe (ed.) *High Theory/Low Culture: Analysing Popular Television and Film*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Griffith, R. (1949) 'Cycles and genres', in B. Nichols (ed.) (1976) *Movies and Methods*, vol.1, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Hall, S. (1977) 'Culture, the media and "the ideological effect"', in J. Curran, M. Gurevitch, and J. Woollacott (eds) *Mass Communication and Society*, London: Edward Arnold.
- (1982) 'The rediscovery of ideology: return of the repressed in media studies', in M. Gurevitch, T. Bennett, J. Curran, and J. Woollacott (eds) *Culture, Society and the Media*, London: Methuen.
- Hamilton, P. and Mathews, S. (1986) *American Dreams: Australian Movies*, Sydney: Currency.
- Hansen, M. (1989) 'Miriam Hansen', *Camera Obscura*, 20–1.
- (1994) *Babel and Babylon: Spectatorship in American Silent Film*, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Hawkes, T. (1977) *Structuralism and Semiotics*, London: Methuen.
- Heath, S. (1980) 'The cinematic apparatus: technology as historical and cultural form', in T. De Lauretis and S. Heath (eds) *The Cinematic Apparatus*, London: Macmillan.
- Henderson, B. (1971a) 'The long take', *Film Comment* 7 (2).
- (1971b) 'Two types of film theory', *Film Quarterly* 24 (3), reprinted in B. Nichols (ed.) (1976) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 1, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Hobson, D. (1982) *Crossroads: the Drama of a Soap Opera*, London: Methuen.
- Jowett, G. and Linton, J. (1980) *Movies as Mass Communication*, Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Kindem, G. (ed.) (1982) *The American Movie Industry: the Business of Motion Pictures*, Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- King, N. (1983) 'Changing the curriculum: the place of film in a department of English', *Australian Journal of Cultural Studies* 1 (1).

REFERENCES

- (1992) 'Not to be an intellectual: Adrian Martin on teen movies', *Cinema Papers* 89.
- Lévi-Strauss, C. (1955) 'The structural study of myth', *Journal of American Folklore* LXXVIII (270).
- (1966) *The Savage Mind*, London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- Lapsley, R. and Westlake, M. (1989) *Film Theory: an Introduction*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Lindsay, V. (1915) *The Art of the Moving Picture*, New York: Macmillan.
- Lund, D. (1982) 'Writing film history: the struggle for synthesis', in S. Thomas (ed.) *Film/Culture: Explorations of Cinema in its Social Context*, Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow.
- MacCabe, C. (1981) 'Realism and the cinema: notes on some Brechtian theses', in T. Bennett, S. Boyd-Bowman, C. Mercer, and J. Wool-lacott (eds) *Popular Television and Film*, London: British Film Institute.
- (ed.) (1986) *High Theory/Low Culture: Analysing Popular Television and Film*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Mast, G. and Cohen, M. (eds) (1985) *Film Theory and Criticism*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mathews, S. (1986) *35mm Dreams*, Melbourne: Penguin.
- Mayne, J. (1993) *Cinema and Spectatorship*, London: Routledge.
- Metz, C. (1975) *Language and Cinema*, New York: Praeger.
- (1982) *Psychoanalysis and the Cinema: the Imaginary Signifier*, London: Macmillan.
- Modleski, T. (1988) *The Woman Who Knew Too Much: Hitchcock and Feminist Film Theory*, New York: Methuen.
- Monaco, J. (1981) *How to Read a Film*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Moran, A. (ed.) (1996) *Film Policy: International, National and Regional Perspectives*, London: Routledge.
- Morley, D. (1980) *The Nationwide Audience: Structure and Decoding*, London: British Film Institute.
- (1992) *Television, Audiences and Cultural Studies*, London: Routledge.
- Mulvey, L. (1975) 'Visual pleasure and narrative cinema', *Screen* 16 (3), reprinted in B. Nichols (ed.) (1985) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 2, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1989) *Visual and Other Pleasures*, London: Macmillan.
- Neale, S. (1985) *Cinema and Technology: Image, Sound, Colour*, London: Macmillan.
- Nelmes, J. (ed.) (1996) *Introduction to Film Studies*, London: Routledge.

REFERENCES

- Nichols, B. (ed.) (1976) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 1, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (ed.) (1985) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 2, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- O'Regan, T. (1985) 'Ocker and the tariff board: the politics of import culture', *Australian Journal of Cultural Studies* 3 (1).
- (1986) 'A fine cultural romance: aspects of Australian film in the late 1970s', *Australian Journal of Cultural Studies* 4 (1).
- Penley, C. (1988) *Feminism and Film Theory*, New York: Routledge.
- Perry, G. (1975) *The Great British Picture Show*, London: Paladin.
- Place, J. and Peterson, L. (1974) 'Some visual motifs of *film noir*', *Film Comment* 10 (1).
- Pribram, D. (ed.) (1988) *Female Spectators: Looking at Film and Television*, London: Verso.
- Prince, S. (1996) 'Psychoanalytic film theory and the problem of the missing spectator', in D. Bordwell and N. Carroll (eds) *Post-Theory: Reconstructing Film Studies*, Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Propp, V. (1975) *The Morphology of the Folk Tale*, Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Rimmon-Kenan, S. (1983) *Narrative Fiction: Contemporary Poetics*, London: Methuen.
- Rutsky, R. L. and Wyatt, J. (1990) 'Serious pleasures: cinematic pleasure and the notion of fun', *Cinematic Journal* 30 (1).
- Sarris, A. (1962–3) 'Notes on the *auteur* theory in 1962', *Film Culture*, winter.
- (1976) 'Towards a theory of film history', in B. Nichols (ed.) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 1, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Schatz, T. (1981) *Hollywood Genres: Formulas, Filmmaking, and the Studio System*, New York: Random House.
- (1993) 'The New Hollywood', in J. Collins, H. Radner and A. Preacher Collins (eds) *Film Theory Goes to the Movies*, London and New York: Routledge.
- Schrader, P. (1972) 'Notes on *film noir*', *Film Comment*, spring.
- Shaviro, S. (1993) *The Cinematic Body*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Silverman, K. (1983) *The Subject of Semiotics*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sklar, R. (1975) *Movie-Made America: a Cultural History of American Movies*, New York: Vintage.

REFERENCES

- Sobchak, T. and Sobchak, V. (1980) *An Introduction to Film*, Boston: Little, Brown.
- Stacey, J. (1994) *Star Gazing: Hollywood Cinema and Female Spectatorship*, London: Routledge.
- Stam, R., Burgoyne, R., and Flitterman-Lewis, S. (eds) (1992) *New Vocabularies in Film Semiotics*, New York: Routledge.
- Studlar, G. (1985) 'Masochism and the perverse pleasures of the cinema', in B. Nichols (ed.) *Movies and Methods*, vol. 2, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Tasker, Y. (1993) *Spectacular Bodies: Gender, Genre and the Action Cinema*, London: Comedia.
- (1996) 'Approaches to the New Hollywood', in J. Curran, D. Morley and V. Walkerdine (eds) *Cultural Studies and Communications*, London: Arnold.
- (1998) *Working Girls: Gender and Sexuality in Popular Cinema*, London: Routledge.
- Thomas, S. (ed.) (1982) *Film/Culture: Explorations of Cinema in its Social Context*, Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow.
- Thompson, K. (1985) *Exporting Entertainment: America in the World Film Market 1907–1934*, London: British Film Institute.
- Todorov, T. (1977) *The Poetics of Prose*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Tudor, A. (1974) *Image and Influence*, London: Allen & Unwin.
- Tulloch, J. (1982) *Australian Cinema: Industry, Narrative and Meaning*, Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Turner, G. (1986) *National Fictions: Literature, Film and the Construction of Australian Narrative*, Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Williamson, D. (1986) *Authorship and Criticism*, Sydney: Local Consumption.
- Williamson, J. (1987) *Consuming Passions*, London: Marion Boyars.
- Wollen, P. (1972) *Signs and Meanings in the Cinema*, London: Secker & Warburg.
- (1976) 'North by North-West: a morphological analysis', *Film Form* 1 (2).
- Wright, W. (1975) *Six Guns and Society: a Structural Study of the Western*, Berkeley: University of California Press.

